

Public Comment Matrix

Name and Organization	Method/Date of Contact	Request	Companies' Position	Consultant's Position	ECMB Position
1. CT Clean Energy Fund	Written comments in summer of 2002 and November 2002	Integrate activities with two funds and provide funding for education bus	Companies should continue to meet to explore joint program offerings and have been doing so in the RD&D area. The Companies should not fund the education bus, as there is already a large amount of the conservation fund aimed at education, including: SmartLiving Centers; eesmarts; and Institute for Sustainable Energy		
2. CT Green Building Council (GBC)	Written comments in summer of 2002	Promote efficient building	Companies should continue to review initiatives of council and continue to offer incentives for items which are common to green building standards. The Companies are exploring being a sponsor for Green Building's training sessions.		
3. Center for Small Business and the Environment	Written Comments in summer of 2002	Adopt a program for small business similar to Power Savers program in San Francisco	Talk with Center and adopt appropriate measures into the current Small Business Program		
4. CT Conference of Municipalities	Written Comments in summer and fall of 2002	Increase funding to municipalities	The Companies should work with the ECMB to insure equitable distribution of funds across all market sectors		

Public Comment Matrix

Name and Organization	Method/Date of Contact	Request	Companies' Position	Consultant's Position	ECMB Position
5. Alliance Energy Solutions	Written comments in summer of 2002	Photovoltaic systems in flat roof industrial sites should be funded	This project will be eligible to bid into the RFP program in 2003		
6. John Hebler	Written comments in summer of 2002 and presentation to ECMB in fall 2002	Use real time information to trigger demand savings	Currently there are several real-time sources for customers who want the data through the companies' metering services offerings		
7. Michael Townsley	Written comments in summer of 2002	(a) Examination of costs; (b) state-wide program delivery; (c) centralized evaluation; (d) use of peaking needs to determine program characteristics	(a) Companies currently account for the very large majority of program costs in their analyses filed with plan; (b) Companies will continue to work with Board to offer joint programs; (c) joint evaluation efforts are under way; (d) recent changes to screening tool will allow greater flexibility in offering incentives for peak reducing technologies		
8. Westfair Associates	Written comments in summer of 2002	Use vending miser in state facilities	Companies currently offer vending miser rebates to any C&I customer		

Public Comment Matrix

Name and Organization	Method/Date of Contact	Request	Companies' Position	Consultant's Position	ECMB Position
9. Savage Alert	Written comments in summer of 2002	Address (a) fuel switching; (b) cogeneration/DG; (c) real time pricing; (d) power factor	(a) The Companies do not support the use of C&LM funds for fuel switching. (b) Co-generation should receive consideration by the customer as a secondary option once all conservation options have been exhausted. (c) and (d) load management now considering real time pricing and power factor		
10. WJM & Associates	Written comments in summer of 2002	UI should reallocate program funding to get better "bang for buck"	UI will continue to work with the ECMB to find right balance of programs to benefit all consumers		
11. Institute for Sustainable Energy	Written comments and presentation to ECMB in fall of 2002	(a) Formal request for funding that was in straw budget (b) hope to work closely with board on several areas	(a) Companies will propose funding to DPUC; (b) ECMB and Companies will work closely with ISE		

Public Comment Matrix

Name and Organization	Method/Date of Contact	Request	Companies' Position	Consultant's Position	ECMB Position
12. ACEEE	Written comments in fall of 2002	(a) Add specificity to plan for residential HVAC; (b) CL&P should monitor retro-commissioning projects in 2004; (c) add furnace fans to residential HVAC program; (d) add packaged refrigeration equipment to C/I programs	(a) Companies will add specificity as appropriate; (b) The UI retro-commissioning pilot has been deferred to 2004 given 2003 budget pressures. Both Companies can monitor retro-commissioning projects in other states and consider a program for 2004. (c) Companies will explore furnace fans as possible measure; (d) Companies currently participate in CEE process to develop efficiency specifications and will explore packaged refrigeration as possible measure.		
13. Northeast HERS Alliance	Written comments and presentation to ECMB in fall of 2002	(a) promote Energy Star efficient mortgages, using HERS Alliance and co-funding from foundations; (b) implement whole house approach with HVAC program	(a) CL&P has an agreement with the organization to promote Energy Star efficient mortgages using the HERS alliance and co-funding from foundations (b) The companies have proposed a comprehensive and cost-effective HVAC program for 2003.		

Public Comment Matrix

Name and Organization	Method/Date of Contact	Request	Companies' Position	Consultant's Position	ECMB Position
14. Bricar Engineering	Written comments in fall of 2002	Companies should target large 3-phase electrical services customers	The Companies currently offer program services to these customers, while also providing services to all customer classes		
15. Connstep	Written comments and presentation to ECMB in fall of 2002	(a) Companies should continue to offer lean manufacturing (b) Companies are welcome to participate in CT Industrial Energy Partnership (CIEP)	(a) Will continue to use lean manufacturing concepts in the C&I programs (b) Companies will explore participation in CIEP.		
16. Alan Paton	Written comments and presentation to ECMB in fall of 2002	Utilize his company to provide services for businesses under 350 kW; do more than lighting in Small Business; pay third party vendors for marketing	These services currently are provided to customers less than 100 kW through the Small Business Energy Advantage program. Larger customers can participate in the RFP or other C&I programs. Smaller customers could use aggregation to participate in the RFP program.		

Public Comment Matrix

Name and Organization	Method/Date of Contact	Request	Companies' Position	Consultant's Position	ECMB Position
17. 1 st Rochdale Coop	Preliminary written comments in fall of 2002 and additional comments in November 2002	Request project on multifamily, low-income housing	The Companies would like to meet with the group in 2003 to assess the potential for such a project in 2004. The Companies encourage 1 st Rochdale Coop. to explore funding through the RFP program.		
18. NEEP	Written comments and presentation to ECMB in fall of 2002	(a) Regional partnerships are critical and programs provide permanent peak load reductions; (b) use new construction programs to teach about new building codes; (c) work for new energy standards; (d) participate in regional evaluations	The Companies continue to support regional programs and partnerships where they provide net benefits to Connecticut customers.		

Public Comment Matrix

Name and Organization	Method/Date of Contact	Request	Companies' Position	Consultant's Position	ECMB Position
19. Thomas Atkins of Pinpoint Power	Presentations to ECMB in fall of 2002	Provide \$150,000 of marketing funds to his Company to assist in his power curtailment services for ISO program	The Companies have developed plans for SWCT which complement the ISO Load Response program. All market participants have equal access to program participation.		
20. SurePower	Letter to ECMB in fall of 2002	Distributed generation is more economical than central station equipment and T&D investments	These should be considered by customers as secondary options once all the C&LM options have been exhausted.		
21. Fuel Cell Energy	Written comments in summer 2002	Allocate a portion of the C&LM fund to fuel cells.	A portion of the fund is currently allocated to fuel cells through the RD&D program.		
22. Aegis Energy Services	Written comments in summer 2002	Allocate more funds to gas cogeneration equipment	The Companies do not support the use of C&LM funds for fuel switching. Co-generation should receive consideration by the customer as a secondary option once all conservation options have been exhausted.		